REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner has repeated the rejection of claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-20, 22-30, 32-38, 40-48, 50-54, and 72 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Herbert (U.S. Patent 6,018,742, hereafter "Herbert") in view of Fujita (U.S. Patent 5,612,872, hereafter "Fujita").

In response, Applicant has amended the independent Claims 1,

18, 54 and 72 to recite that the replacement language serves as a substitute for the corresponding preferred language when the descriptor does not have the descriptor value in the user's preferred language such that a descriptor value is needed for generating a language-specific output structure.

Correspondingly, independent Claim 36 has been amended to recite that the replacement language is a predefined function of the preferred language. For support, the Examiner's attention is directed to page 12 describing Fig. 6. Fujita's translated sentences of its Fig. 15 does not constitute a replacement language as a substitute for the corresponding preferred language (i.e., the replacement language is not a predefined function of the preferred language). Hence, reconsideration of the rejection of the claims is respectfully urged.

Respectfully submitted,

/stefan v stein/ Stefan v Stein Reg. No. 29,702 Holland & Knight LLP P.O. Box 1288 Tampa, Florida 33601-1288 Telephone: 813/227-8500 Facsimil: 813/229-0134

4698713 v1